

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JESBS_96745 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Experience of a Formal Mentorship Program at Saudi Board Family Medicine Residency Program in Qassim, Saudi Arabia. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1. "Experience of a Formal Mentorship Program at Saudi Board Family Medicine Residency Program in Qassim, Saudi Arabia." –this topic is very innovative and important for scientific community. 2. The title of the article is also suitable in Qassim, Saudi Arabia prospective. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive and perfectly organized. But need some grammatical correction. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and perfectly organized. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. But it's too short. Need an extra paragraph for total findings of the research paper. If not please justify. 6. The number of references is 33 which is sufficient for any journal paper. 7. Based on the study findings, mentors and mentees were overall satisfied with mentorship program. But, Mentees perceptions about social or psychological problem and professional development agreed opinion was nearly fifty percent only. On the other hand, mentors had concern related to keenness of mentees for the mentorship meeting. There is need to focus on these domains in the forthcoming mentorship programs. -How? Explain and justify. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | -Need to check grammatical and sentence structure before the final submission | |
| Optional/General comments | -Summary of all findings, results and conclusion part are not clear enough for submitting this paper. Please rewrite before final submission. -Add a section named summary of all findings if possible. If not explain and justify -What is the findings from all literature review? Explain and Justify. - Please rewrite the keywords. If not, justify. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Albina Amin |
| Department, University & Country | Bangladesh University of Professionals, Bangladesh |