

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_96441
Title of the Manuscript:	Unmasking Girls' Issues in a Weekly Boarding Day Secondary School
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	manuscript important for scientific community ok 2. Unmasking Girls' Issues in a Weekly Boarding Day Secondary School research area...??? (Zambia) Abstract The objectives of this research: ??? 1. Establish issues that exist among the girls in weekly boarding at Chadiza Day Secondary School. 2. Determine how issues among the girls in weekly boarding day secondary schools impede their education. This study used a mixed method research Quantitative research : sample and random sampling tool used statistics data analysis Qualitative research : target group key informant how many people data analysis subsections and structure of the manuscript ok research ethics ?? ok Abstract writing should be adjusted.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	language/English quality of the article ok	
Optional/General comments	Good idea Research Article	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anurak Singchai
Department, University & Country	Phetchaburi Rajabhat University, Thailand