

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_96669
Title of the Manuscript:	Applied Digital Documentation with Parametric 3D Modeling Functionality for Smart Forests & Urban Pocket Parks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in thereview form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Fair</p> <p>Good</p> <p>Good</p> <p>Poor</p> <p>Neutral</p> <p>Fair</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

communications?	Fair	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I. Grammar, punctuation, or structure mistakes in the manuscript There were grammatical errors found. Please double check the entire article and correct it. For Example: (a) In the Introduction subsection, in the sentence "...building plansand elevations",there is typo. Maybe what you mean by "plansand" is "plans and" (b) In the Introduction subsection, in the sentence " Unlike traditional survey methods sampled indiscriminately at regular intervals", this sentence hard to read. Consider: Please Splitting it into two sentences (c) In the Introduction subsection, in the sentence "...before undertakingany data capture.", there is typo. Typo?? Maybe what you mean by " undertakingany" is "undertaking any" (d) In the Introduction subsection, in the sentence "... accuracy it was captured at,which", it seems that preposition use "at"may be incorrect here (e) and others</p> <p>II. Comments from reviewers on the writing format and content of the article Comments from reviewers on the writing format and content of the article</p> <p>* The general structure format of the manuscript Each journal has a general format for the structure of the main subsections of the manuscript. Study the format of the intended article (Journal of Engineering Research and Reports) and adapt your manuscript according to the structure of the journal.</p> <p>* In the Abstract subsection (a) The authors must explicitly state the research objectives and finding in the Abstract subsection. Please add it. (b) When using abbreviations for the first time (eg the abbreviation xxx in the Abstract subsection, it must be accompanied by a word extension of the abbreviation (acronym word). Note: Do the same for the same case throughout the manuscript. (c) The results should contain the findings from the research conducted. Not telling what was made. Please fix. (d) Keywords should be specific and describe the Article's content (or constitute the Article's essence). Please revise</p> <p>* In the Introduction subsection (a) Introduction: This subsection is not exhaustive. Research gaps not only discuss what you have identified but, most notably: it is necessary to discuss the existence of gapanalysis from previous studies or weaknesses in the research results of earlier studies. Thus it shows the importance of the research being conducted in improving</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>what already exists, as well as for the advancement of science or the progress of society. Please improve it.</p> <p>(b) After discussing the gap analysis, which is the background for the need for this research to be carried out or the advantages (newness) of the research you are conducting, you must also explicitly state the purpose of your research and its implications. Please add it.</p> <p>(c) The further writing structure of the manuscript should be illustrated in the last paragraph of the introduction.</p> <p>* In the Digital Documentation (Parameterized 3d Cad) – Methodology subsection Generally, the central part of a scientific article consists of subsections/subtitles: Abstract, Introduction, research methodology, results and discussion, and conclusion. Please adjust your article writing by following the standard article structure. Please follow the format of the article you are aiming for.</p> <p>* In the Methodology subheading</p> <p>(a) The methodology presentation is weak. The authors must mention the research method used. Including a block diagram of the stages is better to show the research methodology process.</p> <p>(b) In this sub-section: the authors must indicate the metadata (dataset) used, data sources, data types and attributes as well as the graphic program tools used</p> <p>* In the Data Management & Dissemination Subheading</p> <p>(a) The subtitle Data Management & Dissemination and the discussion in this sub-heading seem unnecessary. Scientific work does not refer to the words of the para, but refers to theories or findings from previous studies that are analyzed or findings from this study. Note: The discussion of data and data processing used is part of the discussion in the Methodology sub-section.</p> <p>(b) All Figures presented must be given a description in the body of the text. Please complete</p> <p>* In the Conclusion subsection</p> <p>In the Conclusion subsection, authors no longer need to conduct other discussions except discussing the findings of the research (answering research objectives), the novelties found from your research results, and suggestions for further study. So please delete narration/descriptions unrelated to research findings and describe the novelty of your research and directions for future research.</p> <p>* In the References subtitle</p> <p>(a) Please remove or replace reference numbers 1, 6, 7, 14, 20, 25, 26, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37 with references to other sources because these references are not suitable/fit to be used as references in reputable scientific articles. Please cite the latest scientific articles and also the latest articles from the Journal of Engineering Research and Reports as replacement articles for articles whose citations have been removed.</p> <p>(b) Articles that are more than 15 years old have expired and so are not eligible for</p>	
	<p>citations. Please replace it with other recent articles.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Anggrawan
Department, University & Country	University of Bumigora, Indonesia