

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_96591
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF SELF-COMPACTING CONCRETE USING PLANTAIN LEAF ASH AND POLYCARBOXYLATE ETHER SOLUTION AS SUPERPLASTICIZER
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Good, accept the submission. The study intended to quantify the amount of plantain leaf ash to be added to the concrete according to the value of concrete properties Measured. This paper focused on the fresh concrete must possess intense fluidity and great cohesiveness. Plantain leaf ash are waste and are causing threat to environment in terms of odour and to reduce this problem of this material the project has been undertaken so that it can be used for construction purpose Yes. It is Suitable. Yes. Yes it is correct. Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
Optional/General comments	Good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. K. Gomathy
Department, University & Country	Sri Chandrasekharendra Saraswathi Viswa Mahavidyalaya, India