

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_96591
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF SELF-COMPACTING CONCRETE USING PLANTAIN LEAF ASH AND POLYCARBOXYLATE ETHER SOLUTION AS SUPERPLASTICIZER
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes - Yes - Please recheck the style writing - Please see the attach paper comments - Yes But cannot see the results in most figured - Sufficient but some of them maybe better 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please check the the spaces bar grammars as the information as the formats in the information in figures disappeared	
Optional/General comments	please double check the errors in the contexts as well as formats (abstract introduction, conclusion and references)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Duongruitai Nicomrat
Department, University & Country	Rajamangala University of Technology, Thailand