

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_95946
Title of the Manuscript:	THE DESIGN OF SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT FOR AGULU COMMUNITY, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes. Technically, the paper presents the need of the sewage treatment plant in the area.</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>In item 5, discussion should be added regarding the content of Table 1. It is recommended to include in the presentation of results the plan and section of the proposed sewage treatment plant.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Need for some checking of typo errors and grammatical errors in the manuscript. It is advised to run the manuscript in the grammar checking apps.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	A final dimension of the treatment plant be included in the conclusion based on the calculation	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Florante d. Poso, Jr.</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>FEU Institute of Technology, Philippines</b>