Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Journal of Engineering Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JERR_95945**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EFFECTS OF SOLID WASTES(LEACHATES) ON THE QUALITY OF UNDERGROUND WATER**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**Yes** **-It's OK** -**You must give some results of your study in the summary in 2 or 3 sentences**. **- Merge 1.1 "problem of the study" with the introduction. It is the same with 1.2 "aim and objectives" as well as 2.0 "Introduction". The title "review of related literature" should be removed. Reorganize all this well in the introduction without putting a number.** **-Very correct** **- The bibliographical references are insufficient. You should do a search for at least 12 to 15 references and make sure to get some recent bibliographic references.**	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	-**The language quality is very correct**	
Optional/General comments	**- All these corrections require a new numbering.**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Kalifa Palm**
Department, University & Country	**Institut de Recherche en Sciences Appliquées et Technologies, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique, Burkina Faso**