

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_95943
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF INDUSTRIAL WASTE EFFLUENT ON WATERQUALITY (A CASE STUDY OF OTAMIRI RIVER, OWERRI, IMO STATE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Since it is a study based on the quality of water it is definitely suitable for society.</p> <p>Moderate. Effect of industrial waste effluents on water quality.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It would be better if more references may have also included. (Especially from recent publications)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Satisfactory.</p> <p>It would be better if the author gives more concentration on the usage of punctuation marks and grammatical mistakes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Under Introduction, Paragraph:2 in the second line the sentence is found to be meaningless. The symbol for water is not suffixed properly. Under section 3.2.4, The symbol for degree Celcius is also not proper. Under literatue review, in line 4 closing bracket is missing. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. Sagi Rani
Department, University & Country	Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India