

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_97034
Title of the Manuscript:	Network embeddedness and leadership style as determinants of crisis response behaviour
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. There is no doubt that the manuscript is very important and that it contributes greatly to the study of <i>Network embeddedness and leadership style as determinants of crisis response behaviour.</i></p> <p>2. In terms of the title of the article, it is suitable and publishable as far as I am concerned.</p> <p>3. In my opinion, the abstract of the article is very well written.</p> <p>4. In terms of the structure and subsections of this particular investigation, they are appropriate and suitable.</p> <p>5. In my opinion, the manuscript is scientifically obvious and correct.</p> <p>6. The references are in accordance with the manuscript.</p> <p>Please include the following few references below as they are very relevant and important related to this higher educations institutions and conducted in the Saudi Arabia:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Allam, Z., Asad, M., Ali, N., & Malik, A. (2022). Bibliometric Analysis of Research Visualizations of Knowledge Aspects on Burnout Among Teachers from 2012 to January 2022. <i>In 2022 International Conference on Decision Aid Sciences and Applications (DASA)</i>, 2022, pp. 126-131, doi: 10.1109/DASA54658.2022.9765200. Allam, Z. & Malik, A. (2020). Exploring the Predictors of Student Satisfaction: A Case of Undergraduate Business School in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. <i>Universal Journal of Educational Research</i>, 8(11B), 5760-5767. DOI:10.13189/ujer.2020.082210 Al Kahtani, N.S.; Nawab, A. K. & Allam, Z. (2016). Unfair HRM practices in the telecom sector in Saudi Arabia. An empirical investigation of selected public and private sector companies. <i>International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research</i>, 14(10), 6377-6396. Allam, Z. & Ahmad, S. (2013). An Empirical Study of Quality in Higher Education in Relation to Stakeholders' Perspectives. <i>Journal of American Science</i>, 9(12), 387-401 Al Kahtani, N.S. & Allam, Z. (2013). Exploring Value Preferences among Students: An Empirical Study of Salman bin Abdulaziz University. <i>Journal of American Science</i>, 9(12), 44-53. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>As a matter of fact, we need to address this issue in order to improve the English language, in particular when it comes to conclusions that have been written in an unscholarly manner.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zafrul Allam
Department, University & Country	University of Bahrain, Bahrain