

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Economics, Management and Trade |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEMT_97034 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Network embeddedness and leadership style as determinants of crisis response behaviour |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | 1. The content of the manuscript is about social science which is nothing related to scientific community. 2. The title of article is look fine to me. 3. Abstract of manuscript is not consistent and unclear. No objective. The methodology is also unclear. No specific findings for qualitative and quantitative. 4. The structure of manuscript is not really consistent. 5. No. 6. Majority of the references lists are not updated. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Author should send the paper for proofreading before the submission. | |
| Optional/General comments | 1. Please confirm the format of preparing the citation and references. 2. Please ensure that all usable citation are listed in the references and delete those unused reference from the list. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Winnie Wong Poh Ming |
| Department, University & Country | University of Technology Sarawak, Malaysia |