

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_96686
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility, Company Size and Company Age on the Financial Performance of Manufacturing Companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. It is moderately important as it provide information about effect of CSR, Company Size and age on companies' financial performance. 2. Title is found good. 3. Conclusion should be redrafted. 4. Yes, all the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Yes, reference is sufficient but it should be uniforms in formatting as it is not looking synchronized.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	Conclusion part should be redrafted as it is only providing general information about the effect. Conclusion should be specific to respective analysis or work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priya
Department, University & Country	Institute of Management Sciences, University of Lucknow, India