

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_96339</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>How to manage relations facing accumulated supply chain disturbances: Covid pandemic, Ukraine war and ripple effects</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Good, accept the submission. This paper focused on how to manage external Supply Chain network relations in the light of unprecedented external disturbances like the pandemic, Ukraine war and inevitable ripple effects caused by explosions or strikes. It impacts in terms of increased lead times, uncertainty about the time required by suppliers and buyers for decision making. Paper work originality is good.</b>  <b>Yes. It is Suitable.</b>  <b>Yes.</b>  <b>Yes it is correct.</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes..It is covered all the necessary documents..(References is not proper)</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>OK..</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Good.</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>C. K. Gomathy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sri Chandrasekharendra Saraswathi Viswa Mahavidyalaya, India</b>