

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_95913
Title of the Manuscript:	Malignant melanoma of anorectal region fundamentally managed with laparoscopic abdominoperineal resection and adjuvant chemotherapy: clinical presentation and review of the contemporary treatment options for this unusual entity
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The topic of this manuscript is important for the scientific community because anorectal melanoma is very rare. Therefore, describing its non-specific symptoms, sharing experience in its diagnosis and management are very helpful. Even though this is a case review but authors made a comprehensive literature review about this disease, that make this manuscript highly valuable</p> <p>2. This title reflects the topic of the article however it's too long. I'd suggest change it in to "Malignant melanoma of anorectal region: a case study and review of the contemporary treatment options"</p> <p>3. The abstract needs to add information on treatment options in the discussion part</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure are appropriate and well-connected</p> <p>5. The manuscript is evidence based and observation-based. Therefore, I think it content is scientifically reliable</p> <p>6. The references are quite old many from 30 even 40 years ago. But I understand this is about a rare disease so it's understandable that authors included those old references in the discussion.</p> <p>7. Other comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Case presentation: I'd suggest author provide the microscopic picture (pathological result) - Discussion: when mentioning previous study, I'd suggest authors provide additional information on the country and year of each study. It would even better if the authors could create a table to summarize all studies they review, including patients' characteristic (clinical, genetic), diagnosis, and treatment - I would also ask authors give some recommendation on further study and or clinical practice base on their case and review, especially on chemotherapy. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	There are quite a few of typos in the manuscript. It could be fixed by carefully editing. Other than that, the language is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I think this is very interesting and helpful article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bui Vinh Quang
Department, University & Country	Hanoi Oncology Hospital, Vietnam