

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_96458
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDES, PRACTICE, AND BARRIERS RELATED TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF MEDICAL AND NURSING STUDENTS IN MEDICAL RESEARCH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? Yes, it is very important to trigger scientific research, especially in medical faculties, which so far have emphasized medical skills 2. Is the title of the article appropriate? it's enough 3. Is the article abstract complete? complete 4. Are the subsections and text structure appropriate? appropriate article structure 5. Do you think the text is scientifically correct? correct with revision, 1. Background Don't include definitions, provide clear facts and expectations 2. Data analysis in the method section must be clearly stated according to the data 6. Are the references sufficient and up-to-date? added a reputable international journal  See the attachment	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nur Wakhidah
Department, University & Country	UIN Sunan Ampel Surabaya, Indonesia