

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_96458
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDES, PRACTICE, AND BARRIERS RELATED TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF MEDICAL AND NURSING STUDENTS IN MEDICAL RESEARCH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. The manuscript is quite critical for scientific inquiry as all facets of socio economic improvement and growth is anchored on research. The findings of the study will assist in affirming the valuable contributions by faculty in research. Also findings will help in bridging the gaps and challenges medical and nursing student face as they conduct their research projects. 2. The title is suitable, however if the researcher can streamline some words, it will be welcome. 3. The abstract is quite comprehensive and has a good layout 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are quite appropriate, however the researcher has to clearly state the existing gap (Problem statement), if possible to support with statistics. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct based on other related researches conducted within our faculty. Students cited inadequate knowledge and skills to effectively conduct their research projects. 6. References are appropriate, however the researcher is encouraged to follow the reference formatting recommended by the journal in question. Also the researcher is advised to cite latest quotes unless the very old are classical such that they cannot be replaced.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is scholarly, however there is need to attend to gramma	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Nil	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gladys Mugdza
Department, University & Country	University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe