

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JAMMR_96344  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Satisfaction of COVID-19 Patients with Health Care Facilities During Pandemic and Its Association with Knowledge, Attitude & Practice |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments<br><br><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. Yes, however in the methodology section of abstract- Two statements (viz Tthe google ... public and " only information of hospitalized COVID -19..... was estimated") are creating confusion. It can be modified. Eg instead of word "estimated" word "analysed" would be better if part of the entire study is considered for writing manuscript.</li> <li>4. Line 64-68 of main article - Fragment the sentence. Description of KAP tool need to be added in short for better clarity. Fig. 1 need to be quoted in the main text. Line 94-100 ... do not match with the study. Fig. 3 title of column and title of figure should match. Use same terminology. Table 3 : item " regular Follow up during hospital stay' Follow up word can be replaced by 'visits by health care team during hospital." In conclusion : last sentence need to be reframed to provide desired meaning.</li> <li>5. Yes with few suggestions as mentioned above</li> </ol> |   |
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | Need to be improved at few places.  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Jyoti Milind Chaudhari                           |
| Department, University & Country | MGM New Bombay College of Nursing, MGMIHS, India |