

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_96120
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Diaphragmatic Ultrasonography in Infants with Acute Bronchiolitis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, since ultrasound is becoming a valuable bedside diagnostic tool Need to mention what type of a study this is i.e., "Evaluation of Diaphragmatic Ultrasonography in Infants with Acute Bronchiolitis: A Prospective Case – Control Study" Yes Yes Yes Insufficient	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. Needs significant language and format editing.	
Optional/General comments	In Introduction: A. Cite references appropriately. Ex. When you state previous studies have demonstrated, cite the reference there. B. What is the study hypothesis? In Methods: Explain the study methodology clearly and comprehensively. What type of study? Was the study registered? What are the inclusion criteria? What are primary and secondary outcomes? Cite references appropriately; Why was left diaphragm not evaluated? In Results: Were anthropometric measurements considered for analysis? Were the diaphragm excursion measurements considered for analysis? Where are the duration of hospital stay data? Are there any ultrasound images? In Discussion: Discuss primary, secondary outcomes and their clinical significances systematically. Consider numbering and citing references appropriately. Discuss why bronchiolitis leads to diaphragm dysfunction. With previous studies in literature, why is this study significant?	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vendhan Ramanujam
Department, University & Country	Brown University, U.S.A