

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_95773
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Efficacy of the Poly-L-Lactic Acid Thread and Platelet Rich Plasma in Treatment of Atrophic Scars
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1) Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes, the area of research is important. 2) Article is suitable 3) Abstract: conclusion is too short. 4) Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. 5) Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6) Statistical analysis: - You have used many: Chi-square, Monte Carlo, F, Kruskal-Wallis, and t-tests - Can you describe whether your data is normally distributed? References - Too little, please add more. Conclusion: Too short Please add recommendations for future study.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Revision required.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thandar Soe Sumaiyah Jamaludin
Department, University & Country	International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia