

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_95725
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Laboratory Investigations for Neuropathy in Children and Adolescent with Type I Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? It is a good work with good methodology and valuable results.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes.</p> <p>1. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes.</p> <p>2. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>3. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</p> <p>4. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is two references having the same number (no.8). (Li <i>et al.</i> & Sandhu <i>et al.</i>, in page no. 8 the penultimate section). 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Non</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Khalid Abbood
Department, University & Country	College of Pharmacy, Al-Bayan University, Iraq