

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_95725
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Laboratory Investigations for Neuropathy in Children and Adolescent with Type I Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, the manuscript has a value for scientific community, this study gives an insight to the possible association between several biochemical markers and type I diabetes mellitus.</p> <p>2- Title of the article looks suitable.</p> <p>3- The abstract is comprehensive but authors should explain what each abbreviation means like AST and ALT.</p> <p>4- The structure and subsections are correct but a brief conclusion must be added.</p> <p>5- Scientifically, the manuscript looks good. However, authors should mention the name of tests used in statistics comparison like t-test or chi-square test. Also, I am wondering why authors didn't use body mass index (BMI) instead of using height and weight as separate values.</p> <p>6- The references are recent and sufficient but I am wondering why authors give a reference to this sentence "30 children and adolescents with duration of diabetes more than five years"?</p> <p>7- In the introduction, authors should mention that beta cells are present in pancreas. Also, they should explain both monogenic diabetes and drug induced diabetes.</p> <p>8- In subjects and methods, authors should write what SLE mean. In statistical study, they should write that the used frequency and percentage to describe qualitative or categorical data. For quantitative or numerical data, they should use either mean \pm standard deviation or median and range.</p> <p>9- In discussion, author mentioned that this study is cross-sectional but in fact it is case-control study. I am wondering what authors mean by abbreviations like DN, DPN and DKD?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1- Authors should pay attention to some spelling mistakes like changing "hid" to "hide" and "through" into "thorough".</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar
Department, University & Country	Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq