

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_95675
Title of the Manuscript:	Disease activity score (DAS) correlation to serum prolidase as a collagen turnover marker in comparison to some pro-inflammatory markers in rheumatoid arthritis patients: clinical and sonographic study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is satisfactorily written. Yes Yes Yes Yes</p> <p>1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the word “: clinical” may be as “: A clinical (in title)”; “pre-selected” as “the pre-selected”; “IL-6 and prolidase has” as “IL-6 and prolidase have”; “an evidence on” as “evidence of”; “synovial” as “the synovial”; “generated at” as “generated in”; “particularly at” as “particularly in”; “in the inflammation” as “to inflammation”; “US and” as “the US and”; “from OPC” as “from the OPC”; “Ultrasonographic” as “The ultrasonographic”; “P value 0.05” as “A ‘p’ value of 0.05”; “the higher” as “a higher”; “c control” as “with the control”; “blood” as “the blood”; “shown a” as “has shown a”; “related with” as “related to”; “by the serum” as “by serum”; “induction” as “the induction”; “an evidence on” as “evidence of”; “as predicting” as “as in predicting”; “IL-6 and prolidase has” as “IL-6 and prolidase have”. The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words “sex matched” may be as “sex-matched”; “rheumatism (EULAR)” as “Rheumatism (EULAR)”; “cases'mean” as “cases' mean”; “high sensitivity” as “high-sensitivity”; “treat to target” as “treat-to-target”; “case control” as “case-control”; “hsCRP” as “hs-CRP”; “Ultrasound detected” as “Ultrasound-detected”; “Table 3 and 4” as “Tables 3 and 4”; “shown substantial” as “showed substantial”; “grey scale” as “grey-scale”; “cross sectional” as “cross-sectional”; “informations on” as “information on”. The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>3. When referring to SPSS versions beginning from 19, authors should cite 'IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA)'.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract and the remaining for the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, Rheumatoid arthritis (RA), Interleukin 17 (IL-17), Interleukin 6 (IL-6), MMP, etc.). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words to be abbreviated.</p> <p>2. The initial cited with reference in the text should be removed and should be as per the author instruction of the journal (For example, “Sennels H et al. [25] and Maijer KI [26]” etc.) and it should also be checked all over the manuscript.</p> <p>4. In the materials and methods, the authors may cite references for standard protocol, instead of mentioning kid or manufacture instructions, if reference is given with it and the same should be added in the reference section.</p> <p>5. In conclusion, it is highly recommended to include the limitations and future direction of the present study for better understanding.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors have put effort to evaluate the “Disease activity score (DAS) correlation to serum prolidase as a collagen turnover marker in comparison to some pro-inflammatory markers in rheumatoid arthritis patients: clinical and sonographic study”. The authors describe the research undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the shortcomings which need to be considered. Plagiarism need to be checked since there is no commercial software available. And also need extensive English corrections. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MINOR REVISIONS are carried out.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Vijaya Anand
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India