

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research                                    |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JAMMR_95612  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Ultrasound Guided Platelet Rich Plasma Injection in Post Traumatic Knee Meniscus lesion |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   |  |   |
| 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)   | Yes  |   |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)  | Yes  |   |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  | Yes  |   |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?   | Yes  |   |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?   | Yes  |   |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | Yes  |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  | Yes  |   |
| 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  |  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | The abstract is properly structured and complete. The data presented are concise, conclusive and properly arranged. The tables are impressive and conclusive. The conclusion is logic and clinically significant. The references are appropriate and accurate. |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                   |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Ehab Abdel Aziz Ahmed El-Shaarawy |
| Department, University & Country | Cairo University, Egypt           |