

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_96848
Title of the Manuscript:	Centralizer of a dhotrix of size 3 over a GCD domain
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	First, I would like to congratulate the authors for their excellent work, below are some recommendations to qualify the work, such as: • I suggest revising the Title of this work, creating a more appropriate title and subtitle; • Rewrite the Abstract of this work, highlighting the relevance and importance of this work for the world scientific community, between 200-300; • Rewrite and insert 5 (five) most relevant keywords; • Please insert more information and References in the Introduction of this work; • I suggest revising the last paragraph of the Introduction, please rewrite reporting the purpose of this work; • Rewrite the 1st paragraph of the Literary Review, be clearer and more detailed; • Describe and insert more relevant information in the Conclusion of this work; • Please insert more References in this current high-impact work (2017-2022), and preferably from the Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science; • I suggest inserting one more topic, soon after the conclusion of this work, called Future Works, evidence of what is expected for the future of this work, it is worth commenting to highlight the importance of this work for the World Scientific Community;	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriel Gomes De Oliveira
Department, University & Country	UNICAMP, FEEC, Brazil