

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_96300
Title of the Manuscript:	Modeling COVID-19 Pandemic by the LISR Volterra-Fredholm Integral Equation: A Case Study of South Africa
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. This paper investigates the feasibility of obtaining good convergence results for a nonhomogeneous Volterra-Fredholm integral equation model of the second kind. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality of the English language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Instead of Impact analysis in the paper the author can give conclusions.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Sankaragomathi
Department, University & Country	Sri Shakthi Institute of Engineering and Technology, India