

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_96300
Title of the Manuscript:	Modeling COVID-19 Pandemic by the λISR Volterra-Fredholm Integral Equation: A Case Study of South Africa
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This is a topic of interest to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title is correct.</p> <p>3. The abstract conveys the subject clearly.</p> <p>4. The structure of article is correct.</p> <p>5. The data used are reliable and the methodology is appropriate.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>In the conclusions section, Further discussion and analysis discussion are carried out.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English language of the paper needs minor improvements.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	It is suggested to present some prospects for future work and real progress in this theme, if the authors think it pertinent. It should be interesting to demonstrate that results contributed to develop the line of research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francisco Ilson da Silva Junior
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Ceara, Brazil