

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_95654</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>GENERALIZATION OF THE EXPANSION OF THE LAGRANGE MULTIPLIER IDENTIFIER INTEGRALS FOR THE VARIATIONAL ITERATION METHOD</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>This manuscript have a significant findings.</b>  <b>The title seems good with the context of explanation.</b>  <b>The findings should be mentioned in a more thorough manner in the abstract.</b>  <b>A discussion section should be included to go into greater detail about the findings.</b>  <b>Scientifically, this manuscript has significance.</b>  <b>The references are appropriate and suitable.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Please write the article clearly. The equation's numbering is inconsistent with it.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Please double-check the equation number (27). The lambda appears to be absent, and the positive sign should replace the negative one because it has been multiplied by the substituted (s). Your explanation of your findings should be succinct and convincing to the reader.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Shahimi Bin Ariffin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Teknologi MARA, Malaysia</b>