



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>. The manuscript in terms of content and organization is acceptable, but the abstract does not conform to the standard format; being in sections of Background, aim, methods, results &amp; conclusion. The title should not have the word 'strain' at the end of the title as the work did not provide identification of strains.</p> <p>Aside from the manuscript itself, the work is too basic and Figures 1 &amp; 2 are not useful to include in the submission; poor quality and uninformative. I believe the work could be improved and developed further before being accepted for publication. For example, the authors could use DNA methods to identify the genus of the bacteria and could provide results of testing the isolates against natural contaminated habitats or media.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The title was modified to suit the manuscript.</li><li>2. The abstract has been restructured to conform to the standard format</li><li>3. Figure 1 and 2 has been removed and the result improved.</li></ol>