

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_95666
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of microbiological quality, proximate composition and physicochemical parameters of Margarine produced from oil blends of palm kernel, coconut and melon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1-The present manuscript is an extensive study and presents valuable data. 2. The research is written in an weak language. 3-References in bibliography need to format according to journal instruction. 4. the references sufficient and recent 5-Results in table need to make statistical analysis 6- References updated in the years from 2015 to 2023 7-The title of the article not suitable 8-The abstract needs more clarification of the results contained in the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	language/English Need to re-write good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gamal Saad Elhadidy
Department, University & Country	Agriculture Research Center, Egypt