

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_96221
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of <i>Spirulina platensis</i> Supplementation on Growth Performance, Blood Metabolites and Antioxidative properties of Fattening Lambs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1-Yes, it is a study on the use of alternative sources in animal nutrition. The study is important. 2- Title of article can be changed as 'Effects of <i>Spirulina platensis</i> Supplementation on Growth Performance and Blood Metabolites of Fattening Lambs' 3- Results should be explained more. How the study was carried out is explained in the text. 4- The materials are not explained clearly. The source of <i>Spirulina platensis</i> should be specified specifically. Basal diet contents should be given such as amount of hay, amount of soybean etc. 5- Yes, I think. 6- No, current references should be cited.  The font of the manuscript, font sizes, tables are very irregular.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	No, the manuscript should be review the manuscript by English native speaker	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The layout of the article seems to have been written too rashly. I recommend that the authors make revisions.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Yes,  Many scientific journals also seek ethics committee approval for in vitro animal studies; therefore, the scope of animal testing has inevitably expanded.  In this context, the European Community in Strasbourg in 1985 conditions to be able to experiment with	

**Review Form 1.7**

	vertebrate animals by a decision taken determined:  1) Investigation of normal physiological mechanisms in humans,  2)protecting people from diseases and improving the diagnosis and treatment of diseases  research methods,  3) environmental protection,  4) scientific research,  5)education,  6) forensic investigation (toxicological research).	
--	--	--

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Celile Aylin Oluk</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Eastern Mediterranean Agriculture Research Institute, Türkiye</b>