

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_95936
Title of the Manuscript:	Multifloral honey wound healing evaluation on Class IIB depth burns induced in rats of the Wistar strain
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The manuscript is relevant.  <b>Evaluation of burns wound healing properties of different varieties of honey from Cameroun</b> <b>Not really</b> <b>No</b> <b>The results might be correct but not rich enough</b> <b>The references are not sufficient and recent. They need an update. The style of citation was not consistent too.</b>  <b>The methodology employed was not well defined. There were other analyses needed to be done such as analyses of pH, viscosity, hydrogen peroxide content, glucose oxidase activity, antioxidant activities as well as vitamin (E) content of the different varieties of the honey used for the study.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Fair enough	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, the animal study was not done in accordance to the international accepted model. The housing, feeding and grouping of the rats were not well defined. The institution research and ethical approval was not gotten and stated.	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ifedilichukwu, Nma Helen
Department, University & Country	Nigeria