

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_95568
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF CLOVE (FEED AND WATER GRADE) ON LAYERS PERFORMANCE AND EGG QUALITY
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<i>The manuscript may be valuable due to growing popularity of natural feed additives for poultry, however, it doesn't represent the high quality.</i>	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<i>The title should be rephrased a bit due to its suggests that 2 types of additive were used not to methods of administration.</i>	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	<i>It is difficult to understand the topic based on abstract because it is chaotic.</i>	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<i>Yes, typical for research works.</i>	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	<i>It is difficult to explain shortly, all detailed comments were added on the manuscript.</i>	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<i>No, the references are relatively old, also there is a lot of mistakes in the quotations (detailed comments on the manuscript)</i>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<i>Based on a lot of formatting mistakes and few strange grammar constructions found in the text the native speaker revision is recommended (detailed comments on the manuscript).</i>	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Justyna Batkowska
Department, University & Country	Institute of Biological Basis of Animal Production, University of Life Sciences in Lublin, Poland