

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_96733
Title of the Manuscript:	Study the Effect of Nitrogen Fertilization Rates on Grain Yield and Some Physiological Characteristics for Wheat Varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	I think that this manuscript known by the scientific community, but it add somewhat new knowledge about the wheat varieties and N fertilizer in the area of study. As well as, it gives an indicator about the relationship between the growth seasons with the study factors that related to physiological traits. Yes somewhat, but it is long. Thus, I suggest one changing in the title. Yes with some changing I suggested in original article. Yes. Somewhat, yes. The author/s need/s to correct and follow the notes above the article that mentioned by the reviewer/s. It has too much references, but they need to correct them depend on the Journal system.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but there are some sentences and phrases need to correct.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muaid Shaker Ali
Department, University & Country	University of Thi-Qar, Iraq