

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_96447
Title of the Manuscript:	QUALITY AND ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY TEST OF PURPLE SWEET POTATO (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> . L) FLOUR WITH SUBSTITUTION OF WHEAT FLOUR
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community, especially in Indonesia, due to the importance of food raw materials. The lack of wheat can be replaced by other starchy feedstock, like sweet potato.</p> <p>No. I suggest a new title: EFFECT OF THE SUBSTITUTION OF WHEAT FLOUR WITH PURPLE SWEET POTATO (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> L.) FLOUR ON THE ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY AND BAKED PRODUCT QUALITY</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valentina Nikolić
Department, University & Country	Maize Research Institute, Serbia