

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_95697
Title of the Manuscript:	ACUTE TOXICITY ASSAY AND ANTI-DIABETIC ACTIVITIES OF SHEMMECO EXTRACT IN <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. On a general way, the paper have scientific data many encouraged e relevant. 2. The title need be improved. 3. Review. 4. Not. 5. Not. 6. All references need be revised based on the Guidelines for Author of this Scientific Journal.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	The paper have relevant datas, however, need of improvements on the general structure, review Scientific Guidelines to Register Official of Zoological Species Name, and lack ethical decision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vanderlei da Silva Oliveira
Department, University & Country	Universidade do Estado da Bahia, Brasil