

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_95697
Title of the Manuscript:	ACUTE TOXICITY ASSAY AND ANTI-DIABETIC ACTIVITIES OF SHEMMECO EXTRACT IN <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Manuscript is very important in field of treatment of diabetes II type. Moreover, the main keys these are studying of plant extract and human investigation methods by similarity to human's metabolism, in <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> (fruit fly).</p> <p>2. The title of the article is quite appropriate.</p> <p>3. The article abstract is absolutely comprehensive</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure are logically, but for my mind should write in MATERIALS AND METHODS some sentences about preparing plant extract – is it fluid or hard and how are counting dose and what authors added to the feed of control group in glucose assaying investigation (it should be extragent in equal dose). In this part should be write about statistic methods and all results to my mind should be counting by t-test and with statistical data differences or another mathematic analyses. Where datas about apparatus type in glucose measuring? What are the information about methodical of it action? Where are author's method in this measuring?</p> <p>5. It is interesting idea and to my opinion, after adding some modern analysis writing it will be actual and beautiful scientifically manuscript.</p> <p>6. The references are right</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The article language is clear and understanding	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olena Grechana
Department, University & Country	Zaporizhzhya State Medical University, Ukraine