

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Oncology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_96446
Title of the Manuscript:	A case report on Adenoid cystic carcinoma of nasal cavity: Successfully treated by endoscopic surgical excision
Type of the Article	Case report

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) Yes</p> <p>2) Yes</p> <p>3) It is however it must be slightly changed as there are many available articles on this disease including systematic reviews.</p> <p>4) Yes</p> <p>5) It adds to the number of report on the disease with no new additional information in terms of patient's management. More elaborated clinical features of the case eg the extent of the disease in which sinuses, grading of the patient's disease, highlights of any risk factors/prognostic factors in this particular patient, any specific surgical technique/approach can make the article more valuable.</p> <p>6) To add more recent references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are some words that can be replaced with a more concise word. To check for grammatical errors and correct them.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Please kindly do the referencing properly according to the guideline of the Journal</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Intan Kartika Kamarudin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia</b>