

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_96387
Title of the Manuscript:	Current Status Of Lung Cancer Research
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, Lung cancer is one of most common causes of cancer with high risk of metastasis and mortality. A lot of unmet scientific questions needs to be addressed. Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Please seek additional language assistance or proof-reading.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very low quality with a lot of phrasing, grammar and spelling mistakes. Please go overall.	
Optional/General comments	Figures need modification – Fig 2: same font and size. Fig 3: Label Y axis and add references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Is the figures are original, if not where is the permission to use?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nora Hosny Ahmed
Department, University & Country	University of Minnesota, USA, Suez Canal University, Egypt