

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_96387
Title of the Manuscript:	Current Status Of Lung Cancer Research
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. No 6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The information given into the manuscript is good and informative scientifically. However there are some suggestions/corrections to do in order to make the manuscript more attractive and informative.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ You have written a synthesis paper. The reader should immediately know that this is a synthesis paper. I suggest you to start or end the title with a word like synthesis (An overview...), ▪ Why this topic is interesting, within the current framework of research needs or questions about lung cancer? ▪ Which major points stand out in terms of analysis and comparison of results, research questions and implications? ▪ In the first part of the body of the paper, you should explain the method and the limits defined for the bibliographic research. Evaluation of the quality of documents, selection criteria. ▪ In your conclusion, you should clearly summarize the main message of the paper, i.e. the strengths identified in the area of research and the remarkable consequences. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ndoe Guiaro Marcellin
Department, University & Country	Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plants Studies, Cameroon