

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_96237
Title of the Manuscript:	Current updates on in vitro dissolution testing for immediate release oral dosage forms
Type of the Article	Opinion Article - Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalirjgh.com/index.php/IRJGH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Normal review article only yes generalised and not indicating the purpose of article review yes yes it is correct and already present in books yes but jumbled and it could have been arranged in increasing or decreasing There was no need of publishing already known information but it is good to see that you have placed the various issues which will be influencing the test. All the very best for future articles.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is of good quality only.	
Optional/General comments	It could have been better if images are also placed of Dissolution apparatus specified in the article in appropriate position and the limits are also placed comparison with the available different pharmacopeia.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juluri Krishna Dutta Tejaswi
Department, University & Country	India