

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_96936
Title of the Manuscript:	Introduction of grading system to assess female sexual and reproductive psychiatry and identification of a new syndrome. Statistical validation in a sample Russian population
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. This manuscript is an important and interesting topic. It is related to the grading system to assess female sexual and reproductive psychiatry and identification of a new syndrome. This study is conducted among 231 females from different regions of the Russian Federation. 2. The title needs revision to make it suitable for the content of this manuscript. 3. The abstract needs re-write to make it comprehensive. Provide the key findings of the study. 4. Need re-structure this manuscript. For example, Introduction, Literature Review, Method, Finding, Discussion and conclusion. 5. Need to provide research aim and objectives in the introduction section. Provide a literature review and reliability analysis of the instrument. 6. Yes	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Need to revise this manuscript before it can be published	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Siti Aisyah Binti Panatik</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of HRD and Psychology, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia</b>