

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_96936</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Introduction of grading system to assess female sexual and reproductive psychiatry and identification of a new syndrome. Statistical validation in a sample Russian population</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<b>It is important for scientific community.</b>	
2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>It is suitable.</b>	
3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	<b>It is comprehensive.</b>	
4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aurora Martinez Romero</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Juarez University, Mexico</b>