



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>All the recommendations suggested by Dr. Mitra Karbasi. Their are valuable and definitely taken into account. In addition to that I have the following suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The sentence formation in general could be made more cognizant to convey the meaning in the desired sense. Exampe: First and third sentence in aims and purposes and also "the real one "the term in material and methods should be changed.</li> <li>2. The version of SPSS software was not specified. Arithmetic mistake in table 1, the arithmetic values of given in table 2 does not match with the description given verbally. Example: Table 2 says 72,62 whereas the description says 72.62%.</li> <li>3. In the data regarding usage of Bulgaria made units and imported ones there is no table figure or graph to support it.</li> <li>4. The discussion part has to be further made meaningful by comparing the results of studies done in similar terms and content</li> <li>5. The first 2 references does not have date of issue so I was not able to cross check for the content</li> <li>6. The forth reference does not match with the content specified in the article</li> </ol> <p>Finally in my opinion my suggestion is to re-write the methods and methodology and discussion part of the article with more clarity and unambiguity. The result should have more articles for justification.</p>	<p>Dear Dr. Mitra Karbasi, I tried to change the text on those places you pay attention to me.</p> <p>I discussed the SPSS with my statistician and I add the specification of it : SPSS Statistics 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA)</p> <p>We do not find an Arithmetic mistake in table 1. I calculate them many times, but everything is ok. We have generally 1037answers (100%). First group (before 1990) includes 214 answers (20,63%); second group (after1991) includes 823 answers (79,37%). In these subgroups the answers are divided before 1980 – 64 (6,17%); 1981-1990 – 214 (14,46%); 1991-2000 – 295 (28,45%) and After 2001 – 528 (50,92%).</p> <p>Table two I put % in the table to be the same with the text.</p> <p>I made and include three new diagrams/graphs for the Bulgarian and import dental units.</p> <p>I tried to make this comparing the results, as you advice me, with the data from another survey made 19996. The author of it, is prof. Katrova, a Bulgarian author and because of that in the references I wrote the name in English and originally in Bulgarian.</p> <p>About the references you pay attention to me I had them but I download them a long time ago and I couldn't find them. I changed them.</p>