

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_96432
Title of the Manuscript:	Co-infection of COVID-19 and Malaria Exacerbates Fibrinolytic Responses: A Case Control study in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is important for scientific community, the title of the article is suitable, the abstract of the article is comprehensive, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and the manuscript is scientifically correct, but there are following problems to need to be corrected. 1. Results are good and the resolutions of the figures are high, but the results got in the experiments should be described objectively, not conclusions were made; In addition, the part of discussion is not well discussed combined with results and references and should make some modifications. 2. The language is not fluent, suggesting that it should be edited by an English native editor.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No Comments	
Optional/General comments	Please make minor revisions, especially in the part of discussion and language-editing. After making minor revisions, the paper may be considered for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Changping Li
Department, University & Country	Southwest Medical University, China