

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_96432
Title of the Manuscript:	Co-infection of COVID-19 and Malaria Exacerbates Fibrinolytic Responses: A Case Control study in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is important from the point of view of confirming the impact of COVID-19 on the formation of pulmonary thrombosis,</p> <p>Co-infection of COVID-19 and Malaria Exacerbates Fibrinolytic Responses after thrombosis: A Case Control study in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The t-test for coinfection according to our calculations is not significant.</p> <p>Column B Male vs. vs, Column A Female</p> <p>Unpaired t test P value 0,6475 P value summary ns Significantly different? (P < 0.05) No One- or two-tailed P value? Two-tailed t, df t=0,4599 df=53</p> <p>How big is the difference? Mean ± SEM of column A 518,7 ± 15,98 N=22 Mean ± SEM of column B 530,1 ± 17,06 N=33 Difference between means 11,34 ± 24,66 95% confidence interval -38,12 to 60,80 R square 0,003974</p> <p>F test to compare variances F,DFn, Dfd 1,712, 32, 21 P value 0,2001 P value summary ns Significantly different? (P < 0.05) No</p> <p>Please confirm this</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agustin Joison
Department, University & Country	Cordoba Catholic University, Argentina