

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_95980
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF SOME INFLAMMATORY CYTOKINES OF INTEREST IN IN PATIENTS WITH SEVERE ACUTE RESPIRATORY SYNDROME CORONAVIRUS 2 ADMITTED IN ISOLATION CENTERS IN PORT-HARCOURT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This article is important for clinicians because it suggests the possibility of using the IL6 marker as a criterion for choosing treatment tactics for coronavirus infection. This work confirms the need to search for criteria for assessing the severity of this infection in general, as well as other markers, since one IL6 with a confidence criterion of $P < 0.0399$ cannot be the only important one.</p> <p>Yes, It's</p> <p>Yes, It's</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, I Do</p> <p>Yes, they are</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, It's	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There is no children's contingent in the article. It can be assumed that since children, as a rule, carry COVID-19 infection without symptoms, easily and only in rare cases get sick, they were not included for statistical processing. However, in comparative terms, children and the elderly have significant differences in the pathogenesis of coronavirus infection, meaning the post-viral, i.e. bacterial stage of infection. It would be interesting to see in the article a comparative analysis of the studied cytokines in children and adults. How to spell dyspnoea or dyspnea correctly?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexander Vladyko
Department, University & Country	Republican Research and Practical Center for Epidemiology and Microbiology, Belarus