

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_96658</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Underutilized Nutrient Rich Millets: Challenges and Solutions for India's Food and Nutritional Security- A Review.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes. <b>As the authors stated in the article, India is dependent on only two crops, that are wheat and rice. However, millet is underutilised. As presented by the authors, scientific evidence shows that the mineral availability of millets can be enhanced by simple and cost-effective home processing methods. The studies' findings also show that millet grains have various nutrients that are good for health and on par with major grains. Hence, the findings of the review on millet in this article can contribute to the knowledge in the scientific community.</b>  2. Yes.  3. Yes.  4. Yes.  5. Yes.  6. Total 57 references, only 6 references are from recent past 5 years. There is lack of recent references.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. There are many grammatical and spelling errors. Proofread is needed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Set Foong Ng</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Computing, Informatics and Media, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia</b>