

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_96565
Title of the Manuscript:	Moisture conservations options for boosting system productivity under Pearl millet/cluster bean strip intercropping
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Experimental data was very good, which is needed for rainfed areas But standard of manuscript was very poor and needs lot of correction</p> <p>It is suitable, only thing is instead of Pearl millet/cluster bean (Pearl millet: cluster bean) is better</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>It is better to remove flow chart given before introduction (Which is not required)</p> <p>It needs lot of correction</p> <p>References are old and uniformity in abbreviation of journal name in the reference is required</p> <p>Not mentioned the year of conduct of the experiment, Detailed experimental details were nit given Discussion for the result was not at all given Result and discussion was not given in detail</p> <p>It needs lot of correction, in terms of at least rearrangement of sentences</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	-	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shwetha, B.N.
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India