

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_96546
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of different physiochemical parameters of wheat genotypes (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) in nutrient stress condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is very important to the scientific community, since it describes the interaction of N and P fertilizers, also very important for farmers. 2. Yes. 3. Yes, 4. Yes 5. Yes. However, it would be improved small points: ml, mL; narmada is a name of a wheat variety, then with capital letter; SSP, MOP, DMSO what they stand for; leaf sample, how the leaves were collected from the wheat plots, as for example, one leaf from each plant in the plot, or other form; it would be desirable to explain better the treatment 4 (T4 therapy) 6. About the references there are 12 references in all and seven are older the ten years, i. e. 58%. It would be desirable to have less older references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, it is.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Nothing to declare.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manoel Abilio de Queiroz
Department, University & Country	State University of Bahia, Brazil