

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_96484</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CLUSTER ANALYSIS OF RICE (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) MUTANT LINES OF USING MORPHOLOGICAL PARAMETERS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>It is a very important manuscript for the scientific community</b></li> <li><b>The title is suitable</b></li> <li><b>The abstract is comprehensive BUT omission of the ANOVA Table makes the abstract to be self – restrictive for a more comprehensive content (as suggested in the manuscript</b></li> <li><b>Subsections are appropriate but needs to add on conclusion and recommendation</b></li> <li><b>It is very correct</b></li> <li><b>They are recent references except one as highlighted additional references can be indicated.</b></li> <li><b>Further comments have been well highlighted in the manuscript for consideration (if agreed)</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	The language is very suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I would like to request if the author could add the ANOVA Table of results and a small discussion. This would make the paper more interesting and useful for fellow scientists who want to try mutation rice breeding. Also, much of my comments are in the manuscript itself, otherwise, I liked reading this paper which I believe many scientists would enjoy. The author should go deeper reading on other papers regarding mutational rice breeding even after publishing this paper.	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Elias Jeke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lifuwu Agricultural Research Station, Malawi</b>