

**PERFORMANCE OF DIFFERENT VARIETIES OF JASMINE (*Jasminum sambac*)  
UNDER PRAYAGRAJ AGRO-CLIMATIC CONDITIONS**

**ABSTRACT**

The present investigation entitled **Performance of different varieties of jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*) under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions.** was undertaken in the Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj, during August, 2021 to October, 2022. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 07 varieties, replicated thrice. The variety V4 (U.P. Local) performed significantly better for all the growth parameters like plant height (95.57 cm), number of leaves (118.50), plant spread (60.45 cm), and number of branches (24.50). Variety V5 (Gundumalli) was found superior in terms of bud diameter (24.83 mm), shelf life (5.58 days), average flower bud weight (0.75 g), flower yield per plant (1.46 kg), flower yield per hectare (58.4 q), and benefit cost ratio (4.15) ). So this variety can be used for better quality, flower yield and benefit cost ratio. Hence the variety V5 (Gundumalli) can be recommended for commercial cultivation under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions.

**Keywords:** *jasmine, varietal evaluation, genotypes, growth, yield and quality*

## INTRODUCTION

Jasmine is a genus of shrubs and vines in the olive family (Oleaceae) . For the past many centuries' jasmines have adorned the gardens of central and South East Asia, Afghanistan, Iran, Nepal and many other tropical and sub-tropical countries and many of the jasmine species are native of India and have their origin in the southern foothills of the Himalayas. The basic chromosome number of jasmine is 13, while  $2n$  ranges from 26 to 39, though most of them are diploid. The name Jasmine is of Persian origin and means "gift from God." It is derived from the Persian word "yasmin" which is used for the flower.

Jasmines are commercially cultivated for their flowers in the Southern and Eastern parts of India. Major jasmine producing states in India are Tamil Nadu and Karnataka. Karnataka, is known for cultivation of jasmines due to its versatile utility as fresh flowers in ceremonies, religious offerings and perfuming the hair oils etc. It is a highly valued ornamental plant for home gardens and commercial cultivation. Flowers and buds are used for making garlands, bouquets and for religious offerings, while vein is used as hair adornment.

The Jasmine species *Jasminum sambac* Ait. is distributed mainly in Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, TamilNadu and also to some extent in West Bengal states of India (**Bhattacharjee *et al.* 1983**). Hence, the great extent of variability is available in *J.sambac* Ait. from this region. Essential oil is extracted from the flowers to make perfumes. The different parts of *J.sambac* such as the leaf, stem, bark and roots are important as source of chemicals that are useful in the pharmaceutical industries.

The number of species in the genus *Jasminum* varies from about 200. environment / season is the important limiting factor for growth and flowering of jasmine. The variations among jasmine varieties are largely in response to the environment particularly temperature and the interaction between temperature and variety. Hence, there is a need to evaluate promising genotypes, so that elite genotypes could be recommended for specific locations.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This chapter contains the details of the materials used and the methods adopted in the present study entitled “Performance of different varieties of jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*) under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions” was carried out during August, 2021 to October, 2022 in the Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj. The planting material was collected from Kerala Agricultural College, Mannuthy, Thrissur. The study comprised of 7 varieties and 3 replications. Single Mogra, Arka Aradhana, Double Mogra, U.P Local, Gundumalli, Iruvachi and Erkil Jasmine these are the varieties. The experiment was laid out in RBD.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Performance of Jasmine cultivars for vegetative parameters

There were significant differences among the varieties concerning vegetative parameters. Significantly taller plants (95.57 cm) were reported in variety V4 (U.P. Local), followed by variety V5 (Gundumalli, 90.54 cm) while shorter plants (54.53 cm) to observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The significant variation concerning plant height among the chrysanthemum varieties was also noticed by **Joshi et al. (2010)** Significantly a greater number of leaves (118.50) per plant were reported in variety V4 (U.P. Local), followed by variety V5 (Gundumalli, 116.50) while lesser number of leaves (99.50) was observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). Similar observations were observed by **Jawaharlal et al. (2013)** in carnation and **Vedavathi et al. (2015)** in Asiatic lily. The variation in number of leaves per plant under different varieties, might be due to difference in their genetic inherit capacity and suitability under this climate. Significantly wider plant spread (60.45 cm) were recorded in variety V4 (U.P. Local), followed by variety V5 (Gundumalli, 58.46 cm) whereas smaller plant spread (42.70 m) was obtained in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in plant spread among all the varieties may be due to their genetic makeup and development of a greater number of secondary branches in the varieties thereby increasing the plant spread. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by **Henny et al. (2021)**. Significantly a greater number of branches (24.50) were recorded in variety V4 (U.P. Local),

followed by variety V5 (Gundumalli, 23.42) while lesser number of branches (16.50) was observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in number of branches may be due to the genetic makeup of the varieties and due to environmental conditions. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by **Henny *et al.* (2021)**.

### **Performance of Jasmine cultivars for quality characters**

There were significant differences among the varieties concerning quality parameters. Significantly larger flower bud length (2.59 cm) was reported in variety V7 (Erkil Jasmine), followed by variety V5 (Gundumalli, 2.26 cm) while shorter flower bud length (1.37 cm) was observed in variety V1 (Single Mogra). The difference in flower bud length may be due to the inherent character and genetic makeup of the varieties and environmental conditions, similar results were recorded in Asiatic lily by **Barik *et al.* (2013)**, **Pandey *et al.* (2012)**, **Sindhu *et al. and Singh *et al.* (2012)***. Significantly larger flower bud diameter (24.83 mm) was reported in variety V5 (Gundumalli) followed by V4 (U.P. local, 17.08mm) while small flower bud diameter (2.25 mm) was observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in flower diameter may be due to the variation in the genetic makeup of the varieties. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by **Siddiqua *et al.* (2018)**. Significantly more average bud weight (0.75 g) was reported in variety V5 (Gundumalli), followed by variety V3 (Double Mogra, 0.41g) while lesser Average bud weight (0.16 g) was observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in the flower weight may be due to the varietal character, habitat type and genetic makeup of the varieties. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by **Patil *et al.* (2017)**. Significantly more shelf life (5.58 days) was reported in variety V5 (Gundumalli), followed by variety V3 (Double Mogra, 4.17 days) while less shelf life (2.25 days) was observed in variety V7 (Erkil Jasmine). The difference in the shelf life of flowers may be due to the evaporation rate, transpiration rate of the varieties and also may be due to the varietal character, habitat type and genetic makeup of the varieties. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by **Roopa *et al.* (2018)**.

## **Performance of Jasmine cultivars for yield characters**

There were significant differences among the varieties concerning yield parameters. Significantly higher flower yield per plant (1.46 kg) were reported in variety V5 (Gundumalli), followed by variety V1 (Single Mogra, 1.21 kg) while low flower yield per plant (0.37 kg) was observed in V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in the flower yield per plot may be due to the varietal character, habitat type and genetic makeup of the varieties. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by **Srilatha *et al.* (2015)**. Significantly higher flower yield per hectare (58.4 q) were recorded in variety V5 (Gundumalli), followed by variety V1 (Single Mogra, 48.4 q) while less flower yield per hectare (14.8 q) was observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in the yield per hectare may be due to varietal character, habitat type and genetic makeup of varieties. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by **Singh *et al.* (2017)**, **Sindhu *et al.*, (2006)**.

**Table.1 Performance of Jasmine cultivars for vegetative parameters under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions**

<b>VARIETY</b>	<b>PLANT HEIGHT</b>	<b>NUMBER OF LEAVES</b>	<b>PLANT SPREAD</b>	<b>NUNMBER OF BRANCHES</b>
SINGLE MOGRA	78.47	115.50	50.58	22.25
ARKA ARADHANA	54.53	99.50	42.70	16.50
DOUBLE MOGRA	65.49	105.25	43.68	20.50
U.P. LOCAL	95.57	118.50	60.45	24.50
GUNDUMALLI	90.54	116.50	58.46	23.42
IRUVATCHI	76.75	108.00	48.46	18.33
ERKIL JASMINE	56.58	102.25	42.83	17.33
<b>F - test</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>S.Ed (±)</b>	0.18	0.28	0.19	0.27
<b>C.D.(0.05)</b>	0.38	0.61	0.42	0.60
<b>C.V.</b>	0.29	0.31	0.48	1.64

**Table 2: Performance of Jasmine cultivars for quality parameters yield parameters and benefit:cost ratio under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions**

<b>VARIETY</b>	<b>Flower bud Diameter (mm)</b>	<b>Average flower bud weight(g)</b>	<b>Shelf life of loose flower (days)</b>	<b>Flower yield/ha (q)</b>	<b>Benefit cost ratio</b>
SINGLE MOGRA	8.17	0.33	3.08	48.4	3.44
ARKA ARADHANA	2.25	0.16	2.42	14.4	1.05
DOUBLE MOGRA	13.75	0.41	4.17	22.4	1.59
U.P. LOCAL	17.08	0.37	2.42	43.2	3.07
GUNDUMALLI	24.83	0.75	5.58	58.4	4.15
IRUVATCHI	17.08	0.27	3.33	23.2	1.64
ERKIL JASMINE	3.00	0.25	2.25	16.0	1.13
<b>F - test</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	
<b>S.Ed (±)</b>	0.34	0.01	0.12	0.13	
<b>C.D.(0.05)</b>	0.74	0.02	0.26	0.29	
<b>C.V.</b>	3.39	3.35	4.35	0.50	

## **CONCLUSION**

From the present investigation entitled “Performance of different varieties of jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*) under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions”, it is concluded that the variety V4 (U.P. Local) performed significantly better for all the growth parameters like plant height, number of leaves, plant spread, and number of branches, while in terms of flowering parameters, variety V1 (Single Mogra) was found superior in terms of days to first flower bud initiation, number of flower bud, 50% flowering and duration of flowering, and the variety V5 (Gundumalli) was found superior in terms of bud diameter, shelf life, average flower bud weight, flower yield per plant, flower yield per hectare, gross return, net profit, and benefit cost ratio. So it can be used for better quality, flower yield and benefit cost ratio. Hence the variety V5 (Gundumalli) can be recommended for commercial cultivation under Prayagraj agro-climatic condition.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Barik, D. and Mohanty,C.R. (2015).** Evaluation of Asiatic hybrid lily varieties under Bhubneshwar condition. *Asian J. Hort*, **10** (2):194-200.
- Desai, N. and Mamatha, B. (2016).** Effect of spacing on yield of tuberose at farmers field in Karnataka. *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*, **5** (1): 54-56.
- Fatmi, U. and Singh, D. (2020).** Flower quality, yield and bulb production of different varieties of tuberose as affected by different planting time and geometry under Prayagraj agroclimatic conditions. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemist*,**9** (2): 74-77.
- Gowdhami, T., Rajalakshmi, A.K., Sugumar, N. and Valliappan, R. (2015).** Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of different solvent extracts of aromatic plant: *jasminum sambac*. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, **7** (11):136-143.
- Henny, T., Palai, S.K., Beura, S., Chongloi, L., Devi, O.B. and Mishra, S. (2021).** Evaluation and selection of spray chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat) genotypes suitable for commercial cultivation under coastal plain zone of Odisha. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*,**10** (4): 124-126.
- Jeebit, Singh. L., Khangjarakpam, G., Shadukan, r., andDhua, R. S. (2019)** Quality characterization of new chrysanthemum genotypes. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **8** (4): 1611-1617.
- Jayamma, N., Jagadeesh, K.S., Patil, V.S. (2009).** Growth and flower yield of jasmine (*Jasminum auriculatum*) as influenced by biofertilizers and graded doses of chemical fertilizers. *Journal of ornamental horticulture*, **11**(4) 275-280.
- Joshi, M., Verma, L.R. and Masu, M.M. (2010).** Performance of different varieties of chrysanthemum in respect of growth, flowering and flower yield under north Gujarat condition. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*, **4** (2): 292-294.

**Kumar, R., Prasad, V.M., and Singh, D. (2021).** Varietal evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* L.) under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **10** (12): 245-248.

**Kartheka, T., Rajamani, k., Ganga, M., and ManikandaBoopathi. (2021).** Morphological characterization of certain *Jasminum sambac* genotypes using principal component analysis. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **10** (12): 118-123.

**Keerthishankar, K., Balaji, S., Kulkarni., Yathindra, H.A., Sudarshan, G.K., and Mutthuraju, G.P. (2020).** Yield and cost economics of *Jasminum sambac* Cv. Mysuru Mallige as influenced by fertigation along with a foliar spray of micronutrients. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **9** (6): 1499-1501.

**Kalaiyarasi, A., Dhananjaya, M.V., Sujatha, A.N., Rajive, K., Yogeesh, H.S., Munikrishnappa, P.M., Devappa, V., and Pavithra, S. (2018).** Studies on floral morphology in different genotypes of *Jasminum sambac*. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **88** (11) : 1789–93.

**Kumar, K.K., Ganga, M., Rajamani, K., and Geethanjali, S. (2021).** Evaluation of *Jasminum sambac* accessions for flower bud yield and floral quality parameters to identify a promising genotype for loose flower cultivation. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **10** (10): 1642-1645.

**Karthikeyan, S., and Jawaharlal, M. (2013).** Optimization of planting density in carnation. *HortFlora Research Spectrum*, **2**(2): 121-125.

**Kumar, A., Kumar, R., Singh, J., Singh, P. and Singh, V. (2020).** On-farm evaluation of different cultivars of chrysanthemum under the climatic conditions of Western Uttar Pradesh. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, special issue-11: 1937-1943.

**Patil, S., Mishra, A., Nagar, K.K. and Kumar, C. (2017).** Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat.) varieties for flowering traits under ecological 106

conditions of sub-humid zone of Rajasthan. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, **6** (22), 1338-1342.

**Roopa, S., Chandrashekar, S.Y., Shivaprasad, M., Hanumantharaya, L. and Kumar, H. (2018).** Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) genotypes for floral and quality traits under hill zone of Karnataka. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **7** (8): 1874-1879.

**Srilatha, V., Kumar, K.S. and Kiran, Y.D. (2015).** Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) varieties in southern zone of Andhra Pradesh. *Agricultural Research Communication Centre*, **35** (2): 155-157.

**Siddiqua, A., Lakshmi, K.S., Nagaraju, R. and Reddy, D.S. (2018).** Performance of spray chrysanthemum cultivars (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) in polyhouse conditions. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **7** (6): 1572-1575.

**Singh, D.D., Tyagi, S., Singh, S. and Kumar, P. (2017).** Studies on the performances and flower characterization of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) genotypes under Uttar Pradesh conditions. *Advances in Research*, **9** (1): 1-7.

**Thiripurasundari, S., Velmurugan, M., Geethanjali, S. and Thamaraiselvi, S.P. (2021).** Evaluation of cut chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) under open field and polyhouse conditions in Coimbatore conditions. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **10** (1): 2161-2165.

**Vedavathi, R.S., Manjunatha, B., Mamatha, N.P., Hemlanaik, B. and Priyanka, H.L. (2014).** Influence of spacing and nitrogen on flower quality and vase life of Asiatic lily cv. Gironde. *HortFlora Research Spectrum*, **4** (1): 70-72.